1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 8 9 JONATHAN EDWARD WATKINS, 10 Petitioner, Case No. 2:12-cv-01856-GMN-NJK 11 VS. **ORDER** JAMES COX, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 Petitioner has submitted a motion for reconsideration (#11) of the court's dismissal of this 15 action as untimely. Petitioner expresses dissatisfaction with this court's ruling that the action is 16 17 untimely. His contentions are more appropriate for appellate review than a motion for 18 reconsideration. See McCarthy v. Mayo, 827 F.2d 1310, 1318 (9th Cir. 1987). See also Plotkin v. 19 Pacific Tel. and Tel. Co., 688 F.2d 1291, 1293 (9th Cir. 1982). IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for reconsideration (#11) is 20 DENIED. 21 **DATED** this 10th day of July, 2014. 22 23 24 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge 25 United States District Court 26 27

28